## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-5280

September Term, 2024

1:20-cv-02227-RC

Filed On: March 12, 2025

United States of America,

**Appellee** 

٧.

Fifty-Three Virtual Currency Accounts, et al.,

Appellees

Sharon Beth Weinstock, et al.,

Appellants

BEFORE: Henderson, Millett, and Walker, Circuit Judges

## ORDER

Upon consideration of the motions to dismiss, the opposition thereto, and the replies, it is

**ORDERED** that the motions be granted. Appellants have not shown that the district court issued a final order in granting the United States of America's motion to amend its complaint and denying as moot Husamettin Karatas's motion to stay discovery. See 28 U.S.C. § 1291; Attias v. Carefirst, Inc., 865 F.3d 620, 624 (D.C. Cir. 2017). Although appellants characterize the district court's order as post-judgment insofar as they seek to satisfy a judgment in a different case, that characterization does not establish the order's finality because appellants may seek appellate review of that order when the district court eventually issues a final judgment in this case. See Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668 (D.C. Cir. 2004); see also Pigford v. Veneman, 369 F.3d 545, 546–47 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (dismissing appeal of post-judgment order as from non-final decision).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-5280

September Term, 2024

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam